

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

133				
And France in State		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 07/30/2001	Takashi Minami	TOS-148-USA	7576
09/890,190 7590 01/31/2003 Law Offices of Townsend & Banta 1225 Eye Street NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20005			HOWARD, SHARON LEE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1615 DATE MAILED: 01/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	A				
			Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	09/890,190 Examiner	MINAMI ET AL.				
			Art Unit				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Sharon L. Howard	1615				
ASF		rears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after: - If the - If NO	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In SIX (8) Derived for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period with the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (20)	H(S) FROM				
			The state of the s				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Se</u>	eptember 2001					
	2h) This						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Expn of Claims		osecution as to the merits is				
	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.		33 O.G. 213.				
48	a) Of the above claim/s)						
5)□ CI	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn	from consideration.					
l l	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) C	airi(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
87 0.	laim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Cla	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ele	Action requirement					
		scrion requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
I The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) [] accents to						
Ar	spplicant may not request that any objection to the drage proposed drawing correction filed on	or b) objected to by the Examir	ner.				
11) The	proposed drawing correction filed on	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
lf a	approved, corrected drawings are required:	disapproved b) disapproved	d by the Examiner.				
12)LJ Ine (oath or declaration is objected to by the Time	this Office action.					
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	er.					
13)□ Ack	nowledgment is a real 120		1				
a)∏ Al′	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior b)	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d.	IV 76				
1 🗍	b) Some * c) None of:	○ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) or (1).				
2 □	Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received					
	and dobics of the priority documents have	O boom in the contract of the					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for demand.						
a) ∐ 11 15\□ Acknox	The translation of the foreign language provisional wledgment is made of a claim for domestic priorical companies.	Complianting (10.0.0.8 119(e) (10	a provisional application).				
Attachment(s)	wledgment is made of a claim for domestic priori	ity under 35 H S C SS 430 and	1.				
recommenda)		ty under 55 0.5.0. 99 120 ang/6	or 121.				
Notice of Refe	ferences Cited (PTO-892)	_					
) Information Di	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-4	413) Paper No(s)				
Patent and Trademark Off	Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Patent A 6) Other:	Application (PTO-152)				
O-326 (Rev. 04-01)		·					
7 320 (101. 0 , 0 , ,	Office Action Sum	ımary	Part of Paper No. 3				
			- F 110. U				

Application/Control Number: 09/890,190

Art Unit: 1615

Examiner acknowledges receipt of amendment A filed on 11/15/02.

Claims 1-3 are pending.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP Abstract 59-148713.

The JP '713 abstract discloses 10-20% of a polyethylene wax which has an average molecular weight of 300 to 700, 0.5-50% of a liquid oil (i.e. olive oil and liquid paraffin.

The abstract meets the limitations of claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soyama et al. (USP 5,672,339).

Application/Control Number: 09/890,190

Art Unit: 1615

Soyama teaches a composition for rouge for the lips (see abstract), wherein the composition comprises 10-40% of a nonvolatile oil content (col.2, lines 40) comprising glyceryl diisostearate and glyceryl triisostearate (col.4, lines 24 and 26), including other liquid oils comprising olive oil and liquid paraffins (col.4, line23), 5 to 25% (col.3, line 8) of waxes which are known as a shape-retaining agent for forming a lipstick (e.g. polyethylene wax and microcrystalline wax (col.4, lines 49-57 and col.9, lines 63). Soyama discloses at (Example 1-4, col.38) a lipstick formulation comprising 8.0% of polyethylene wax and at (Example 3-3, col.40) an emulsification-type lipstick preparation comprising 4.0% of microcrystalline wax.

Soyama does not teach the particular mass ratio parameters.

However, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to optimize the particular parameters in order to achieve the desired lipstick composition. (See In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Soyama. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare the lipstick composition of Soyama comprising polyethylene wax and liquid oils.

The particular parameters are not critical over the prior art teaching a lipstick composition which comprises polyethylene wax and microcrystalline wax.

The expected result would be a lipstick composition comprising polyethylene wax, and a liquid oil.

Art Unit: 1615

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Howard whose telephone number is (703) 308-4359. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-3121 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

iron Howard

January 28, 2003

THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER